International Journal of Health Sciences and Research

ISSN: 2249-9571 www.ijhsr.org

Original Research Article

A Community Based Rural-Urban Comparison of Knowledge and Perception of Women towards Breast Cancer in South Western Nigeria

Victor Adovi Aduayi¹, Olufunso Simisola Aduayi², Adedeji Onayade³, Olapeju Adefunke Esimai³

¹Dept of Epidemiology and Community Health, College of Medicine Ekiti State University, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria. ²Department of Radiology, College of Medicine Ekiti State University, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria.

Corresponding Author: Victor Adovi Aduayi

Received: 05/03/2016 Revised: 26/03/2016 Accepted: 31/03/2016

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Cancer of the breast has continued to pose a threat to women's health worldwide. In Nigeria, late presentation has been a consistent finding among patients with its consequent grave prognosis.

Objective: The study set out to assess the knowledge and attitude of women who live in rural and urban communities of towards breast cancer

Materials and Methods: The study was a cross-sectional comparative survey. A total of 680 women, 340 in two predominantly rural and 340 in two predominantly urban LGAs in Osun state were interviewed using a semi-structured, interviewer-administered questionnaire. Quantitative data were analysed using SPSS software version 16.0.

Results: Results showed that 60.9% of the women in rural areas compared to 13.2% in urban areas had poor knowledge of breast cancer; (χ 2=147.9, p = 0.0001). In general, 63.8% and 52.7% of rural and urban women respectively exhibited a negative attitude towards breast self-examination as a preventive practice. (χ 2=42.5, P=0.0001). Among respondents who have heard of breast cancer, 56.8% and 84.1% in rural and urban areas respectively agree that breast cancer is preventable. About 72% and 86% of respondents in rural and urban areas also agree that breast cancer is curable if detected early.

Conclusion: The study concluded that women in underserved rural communities had poorer knowledge of breast cancer and exhibited a negative attitude towards breast cancer and its preventive practices compared to those in urban areas.

Keywords: Breast Cancer, Knowledge, Rural and Urban Communities.

INTRODUCTION

The breast to an African woman is a source of pride. It is seen as an organ for nurturing the young, a component of her body image and a symbol of selfconfidence. Though not primarily sex organs, it also has an unusual erotic significance to the man. [1] Cancer of the breast is that scourge which has continued to pose a threat to women's health. It has now been rated as the commonest cancer in

women and has emerged as a leading challenge to the public health. [2] Estimates from the GLOBOCAN study group report on the worldwide burden of cancer, showed that about 12.7 million cancer cases and 7.6 million cancer deaths occurred in 2008. [3,4] Of these, 56% of the cases and 64% of the deaths occurred in the economically developing world. [2,3] Breast cancer is the most frequently diagnosed cancer and the leading cause of cancer death among

³Department of Community Health, College of Health Sciences, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.

females, accounting for 23% of the total cancer cases and 14% of the cancer deaths worldwide. ^[4,5] Currently, incidence of breast cancer is projected to reach about 15 million by 2025, with 60% of those cases occurring in developing countries. ^[6]

In Nigeria for example, presentation has been a consistent finding among patients with a majority of them being women in rural communities who have identified ethnic, socio-demographic, economic. and geographic disparities compared with urban populations. [7,8] In addition, reports have shown that most rural populations which are made up of older, poorer, and less educated encounter barriers to health care services and support thereby making them vulnerable to a higher incidence of breast cancer. [9,10] Recent studies on rural-urban difference in breast cancer occurrence have shown higher incidence in urban areas and more developed populations. [11,12] Likewise, studies have also identified significant disparities in stages of diagnosis between people living in rural and urban areas, with a greater incidence of late stage diagnosis generally found in rural areas. [8,13,14] The study set out to assess the knowledge and attitude of women who live in rural and urban communities of towards breast cancer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in four Local Government Areas (LGAs) areas namely: Ife-North, Atakumosa west (Rural LGAs), Ife East and Ife-Central LGAs (Urban LGAs) of Osun State South Western part of Nigeria. The study was a cross-sectional study involving 680 women aged 20 years and above in selected rural and urban Local Government Areas.

The respondents were selected using multistage sampling technique. The first stage involved selection of one out of the three senatorial districts using simple random sampling method. The second stage involved the selection of two predominantly rural and two urban Local Government

Areas (LGAs) by simple random sampling method from a sample frame of all rural and urban LGA in the selected senatorial district. The third stage involved the listing of wards in each chosen LGA and the selection of five wards by simple random sampling method. The listing of all the settlements in the wards was done in the fourth stage, and two settlements were selected from each ward by simple random sampling technique. The fifth stage involved the listing of streets or compounds in each selected settlement thereafter; three streets selected using simple sampling technique. At the street level, respondents were selected using systematic sampling method. In households where no one met the eligibility criteria, the house number was noted and the next to it visited. In areas without well demarcated landmark feature of a community (the main market, town hall or palace of the king in some cases) was identified and a bottle spurned round with the tip of the bottle pointing to the starting point.

Additional data was sought by conducting focus group discussions sessions (FGDs), with women who reside in rural and urban areas. A total of four FGD sessions were conducted and each group comprised about 8 participants.

The qualitative data collected from the Focus group discussion sessions were recorded on tape, translated and transcribed on to text and validated. The outputs were coded and detailed content analysis was performed. Some results of the FGD were presented in pros in the discussion. Quantitative data were analysed using SPSS software version 16.0

RESULTS

The age of respondents ranged from 20-60 years with mean (Standard deviation) for women in rural and urban areas as $33.81(\pm 10.50)$ and $32.06~(\pm 7.59)$ years respectively. The difference in mean age between women recruited from rural and urban areas was statistically significant. (t=

2.49, P=0.013). A higher proportion (95.6%) of respondents in urban areas had at least secondary education compared to 28.5% in rural areas (p<0.0001). The distribution educational in attainment and areas was between rural urban statistically significant. A higher proportion (83.2%) of respondents were married in rural areas compared to 74.4% in urban areas (p= 0.0001). As regards respondent's religion, predominantly they were

Christians in both rural (73.1%) and urban (88.2%) areas. Almost all respondents were of Yoruba ethnicity. Responses to some knowledge questions showed that women residing in urban areas demonstrated better knowledge of risk factors, early warning signs and benefit of early presentation compared to those in rural areas. The differences were statistically significant for all questions asked. (Table 1)

Table 1: Participants' awareness and knowledge of breast cancer by place of residence

	Place of residence			
	Rural	Urban	Total	Statistical
	n=340	n=340	n= 680	tests
	(100%)	(100%)	(%)	
Ever heard of breast cancer				
No	69 (20.3)	19 (5.6)	88 (12.9)	$\chi^2 = 32.63$, df= 1
Yes	271 (79.7)	321 (94.4)	592 (87.1)	p= 0.0001**
	n=271	n=321	n=592	
	(100%)	(100%)	(100%)	
Breast cancer is common in women				
No	99 (36.5)	35 (10.9)	134 (22.6)	$\chi^2 = 55.11$, df= 1
Yes	172 (63.5)	286 (89.1)	458 (77.4)	p= 0.0001**
Breast cancer is inherited?				
No	205 (75.6)	133 (41.4)	338 (57.1)	$\chi^2 = 70.21$, df= 1
Yes	66 (24.4)	188 (58.6)	254 (42.9)	p= 0.0001**
Breast cancer is caused by evil spirit				
No	128 (47.2)	240 (74.8)	368 (62.2)	$\chi^2 = 47.36$, df= 1
Yes	143 (52.8)	81 (25.2)	224 (37.8)	p= 0.0001**
Breast cancer is caused by infections				
No	33 (12.2)	135 (42.1)	168 (28.4)	$\chi^2 = 64.54$, df= 1
Yes	238 (87.8)	186 (57.9)	424 (71.6)	p= 0.0001**
Obesity could increase the risk of having breast cancer				
No	213 (78.6)	148 (46.1)	361 (61.0)	$\chi^2 = 65.20$, df= 1
Yes	58 (21.4)	173 (53.9)	231 (39.0)	p= 0.0001**
In early phase breast cancer presents as a painless breast lump				
No	204 (75.1)	101 (31.5)	305 (51.4)	$\chi^2 = 111.51$, df= 1
Yes	67 (24.9)	220 (68.5)	287 (48.6)	p= 0.0001**
Breast cancer in advanced stage present with pain, and				
ulceration of the nipple				
No	164 (60.5)	82 (25.5)	246 (41.6)	$\chi^2 = 73.99$, df= 1
Yes	107 (39.5)	239 (74.5)	346 (58.4)	p= 0.0001**
2 D GI 40 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		237 (74.3)	. ,	

 $[\]chi^2 = Pearson \ Chi-square, \ *Statistically \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.05 \ ** \ Statistically \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ value < 0.001 \ significant \ at \ p \ valu$

Table 2: Respondents' attitude toward prevention and early diagnosis of breast cancer by place of residence

	Place of residence (%)			
Characteristics	Rural n=271 (60.9)	Urban n=321 (39.1)	Total n=592(100)	Statistical tests
Breast cancer is preventable				
Agree	154 (56.8)	270 (84.1)	424 (71.6)	$\chi^2 = 55.01$
Indifferent	11 (4.1)	8 (2.5)	19 (3.2)	df = 2
Disagree	106 (39.1)	43 (13.4)	149 (25.2)	p = 0.0001**
Breast cancer is curable if detected early				
Agree	197 (72.7)	279 (86.9)	476 (80.4)	$\chi^2 = 19.03$
Indifferent	9 (3.3)	4 (1.3)	13 (2.2)	df = 2
Disagree	65 (24.0)	38 (11.8)	103 (17.4)	p = 0.0001**
Early diagnosis improves treatment outcome				
Agree	215 (79.3)	302 (94.1)	517 (87.3)	$\chi^2 = 31.62$
Indifferent	7 (2.6)	6 (1.9)	13 (2.2)	df = 2
Disagree	49 (18.1)	13 (4.0)	62 (10.5)	p = 0.0001**
Breast self examination is useful in early diagnosis				
Agree	200 (74.4)	307 (95.6)	507 (85.9)	$\chi^2 = 55.11$
Indifferent	10 (3.7)	3 (1.0)	13 (2.2)	df = 2
Disagree	59 (21.9)	11 (3.4)	70 (11.9)	p = 0.0001**

 $[\]chi^2$ = Pearson Chi-square, LR χ^2 = Likelihood ratio Chi-square, *statistically significant at p value < 0.05, ** statistically significant at p value < 0.001

Among respondents who have heard of breast cancer, 56.8% and 84.1% in rural and urban areas respectively agree that breast cancer is preventable. About 72% and 86% of respondents in rural and urban areas also agree that breast cancer is curable if detected early. The differences were statistically significant for all questions asked. (Table 2)

Overall knowledge of breast cancer (Table 3) showed that 60.9% of respondents in rural areas had poor knowledge of breast cancer compared with 13.2% in urban areas. In addition, 35.0% and 70.6% of rural and urban respondents respectively exhibited a positive attitude towards breast cancer.

These differences were both statistically significant. Findings from the focused group discussion showed that majority participants strongly believed in mystical entities such as "evil spirits" as being the cause of breast cancer. Other participants are of the view that keeping money in the breast is also a risk factor for breast cancer. However a few participants were of the opinion that excessive consumption of canned food was a risk factor for breast cancer. A 34 year old house wife in a rural area responded as follows "Keeping handphones close to or in the bra can cause breast cancer" while an urban dwelling hair dresser said,

Table 3: Summary of knowledge and attitude towards breast cancer by place of residence

	Place of residence			
	Rural	Urban	Total	
	n=340 (100%)	n= 340 (100%)	n= 680 (%)	Statistical tests
Knowledge				
Poor	207 (60.9)	45 (13.2)	252 (37.1)	$\chi^2 = 165.46 \text{ df} = 1$
Good	133 (39.1)	295 (86.8)	428 (62.9)	p= 0.0001**
Attitude				
Negative	221 (65.0)	100 (29.4)	321 (47.2)	$\chi^2 = 86.39 \text{ df} = 1$
Positive	119 (35.0)	240 (70.6)	359 (52.8)	p= 0.0001**

 χ^2 = Pearson Chi-square, *statistically significant at p value < 0.05, ** statistically significant at p value < 0.001

Table 4: Sources of information about breast cancer and breast self-examination (BSE)

	Rural	Urban	Total			
	n =340 (%)	n =340 (%)	n =680 (%)			
Sources of information on breast cancer	Sources of information on breast cancer					
Electronic Media (TV, Radio etc)	160 (47.6)	112 (25.2)	272 (34.8)			
School/Training Institution	17 (5.1)	159 (35.7)	176 (22.5)			
Health workers (Nurses, Doctors, CHO, etc)	70 (20.8)	101 (22.7)	171 (21.9)			
Friends	50 (14.9)	22 (4.9)	72 (9.2)			
Women Organization	11 (3.3)	19 (4.3)	30 (38.4)			
Churches/Religious groups	15 (4.5)	10 (2.2)	25 (3.2)			
Newspaper/magazines	5 (1.5)	17 (3.8)	22 (28.1)			
Parents/Siblings and relatives	8 (2.4)	5 (1.1)	13 (1.7)			
Sources of information on BSE						
Health workers (Nurses, Doctors, CHO, etc)	113 (47.9)	154 (35.3)	267 (39.7)			
Electronic Media (TV, Radio etc)	77 (32.6)	101 (23.2)	178 (26.5)			
School/Training Institution	3 (1.3)	61 (14.0)	64 (9.5)			
Newspaper/magazines	12 (5.1)	43 (9.9)	55 (8.2)			
Women Organization	6(2.5)	45 (10.3)	51 (7.6)			
Friends	12 (5.1)	17 (3.9)	29 (4.3)			
Parents/Siblings and relatives	10 (4.2)	12 (2.8)	22 (3.3)			
Churches/Religious groups	3 (1.3)	3 (0.7)	6 (0.9)			

"Wearing dirty bra, when you wear the same bra everyday can cause breast cancer" Regarding respondent's sources of information on breast cancer and breast selfexamination, the electronic media (Television and Radio) were the leading sources of information for women in rural areas. While for respondents in urban areas, formal, electronic media and health workers were the leading sources of information. Respondent's source of information on breast self-examination was mainly health workers (Nurses, Doctors, CHO etc) in both rural and urban areas. This was followed by the electronic media. (Table 4)

Of all factors considered in rural areas regarding the influence of sociodemographic characteristics of respondents, on knowledge, age of respondents, level of education and marital status showed a significant association with knowledge of breast cancer. (Table 5)

Of all factors considered, only level of education showed statistically significant

association with knowledge in urban areas. (Table 6)

Table 5: Knowledge of breast cancer by some socio-demographic characteristics of respondents in rural areas

	Knowledge of breast cancer			
Characteristics	n (%)		Total	Statistical tests
	Poor Knowledge	Good Knowledge	n=340	
	n=207 (60.9)	n=133 (39.1)	(100)	
Age(years)				$\chi^2 = 4.25 \text{ df} = 1$
Less than 40	153 (58.0)	111 (42.0)	264	p = 0.045*
40 or older	54 (71.1)	22 (28.9)	76	
Level of Education				
None	17 (81.0)	4 (19)	21	$LR\chi^2 = 11.76 df = 3$
Primary	51 (71.8)	20 (28.2)	71	p = 0.008*
Secondary	125 (57.6)	92 (42.4)	217	
Tertiary	14 (45.2)	17 (54.8)	31	
Marital Status				
Single	24 (45.3)	29 (54.7)	53	$\chi^2 = 6.41 \text{ df} = 1$
Married	183 (63.8)	104 (36.2)	287	p = 0.014*
Religion				
Christianity	146 (59.1)	101 (40.9)	247	$\chi^2 = 1.19 \text{ df} = 1$
Islam	61 (65.6)	32 (34.4)	93	p = 0.147
Parity				
Nil	30 (62.5)	18 (37.5)	48	$\chi^2 = 0.01 \text{ df} = 1$
One or more	177 (60.6)	115 (39.4)	292	p = 0.874

 $[\]chi^2$ = Pearson Chi-square, LR χ^2 = Likelihood ratio Chi-square, *statistically significant at p value < 0.05, ** statistically significant at p value < 0.001

Table 6: Knowledge of breast cancer by some socio-demographic characteristics of respondents in urban areas

	Knowledge of breast cancer n (%)		Total	Statistical tests
Characteristics	Poor Knowledge n=45 (13.2)	Good Knowledge n=295 (86.8)	n=340 (100)	
Age(years)				$\chi^2 = 0.00 \text{ df} = 1$
Less than 40	38 (13.2)	250 (86,8)	288	p = 0.550
40 or older	7 (13.5)	45 (86.5)	52	•
Level of Education				
None	0 (0.0)	3 (100.0)	3	$LR\chi^2 = 10.34 df = 3$
Primary	1 (8.3)	11 (91.7)	12	p = 0.009*
Secondary	25 (21.4)	92 (78.6)	117	•
Tertiary	19 (9.1)	189 (90.9)	208	
Marital Status				
Single	10 (11.5)	77 (88.5)	87	$\chi^2 = 0.31 \text{ df} = 1$
Married	35 (13.8)	218 (86.2)	253	p = 0.362
Religion				
Christianity	0 (13.3)	260 (86.7)	300	Fisher's Exact
Islam	5 (12.5)	35 (87.5)	40	probability=0.559
Parity				
Nil	15 (11.5)	116 (88.5)	131	$\chi^2 = 0.59 \text{ df} = 1$
One or more	30 (14.4)	179 (85.6)	209	p = 0.442

 $[\]chi^2=$ Pearson Chi-square, *statistically significant at p value < 0.05, ** statistically significant at p value < 0.001, LR $\chi^2=$ Likelihood ratio Chi-square

DISCUSSION

comparisons Rural-urban have identified health disparities among economic groups, ethnic groups, socioeconomic genders groups, geographic locations, such as a urban, semi-urban and rural. [15] Previous studies have identified significant disparities in stages of diagnosis between people living in rural and urban areas, with a greater incidence of late stage diagnosis generally found in rural areas. [12,16,17] Studies have shown that most rural populations are vulnerable to a higher incidence of modern chronic diseases because they are older, poorer, and less educated. [18-20] This difference is reflected in higher crude mortality rates reported from rural areas for all cancers. Knowledge of breast cancer and its preventive practices such as breast self-examination and clinical

breast examination may be low among rural dwellers. [8,19,21] In addition, a greater incidence of late presentation of breast cancer cases principally from rural areas continues to carry the day in our health institutions. This has been linked to lower levels of educational attainment and presence of women who are older and poorer in these underserved communities.

Findings from these study showed that about 60% of women in rural areas had poor knowledge of breast cancer compared to 13% among women in urban areas. Accordingly, this finding of about three out of five women in rural areas having poor knowledge of breast cancer compared to less than one in five among women in urban areas may partly be explained by the lower educational level. limited access information on breast cancer and its risk factors and perhaps limited breast cancer related interventions in rural and underserved areas. This result was comparable to finding by Amosu et al and Okobia in their studies on knowledge, attitude and practice of Nigerian women towards breast cancer, showing that women living in rural areas in Nigeria have poorer knowledge of breast cancer and its prevention practice. [22,23] Similarly, studies by Oluwatosin, Balogun and Karbani also reported similar findings of about two in five of their respondents having poor knowledge. [8,24,25] Despite existing effort at raising awareness of breast cancer, poor knowledge of breast cancer among women in rural communities remains a common finding in developing countries underserved communities in particular. [19,24] This may partly explain the late presentation seen in over seven out of ten women with the disease. [17] This finding affirms the need for intervention health education on breast cancer awareness, its risk factor and prevention and screening programs. Therefore increasing women's knowledge to appreciate their true risk of breast cancer should promote access and use of screening or primary prevention strategies.

CONCLUSION

The study concluded that women in rural areas had poorer knowledge of breast cancer and exhibited a negative attitude towards breast cancer and its preventive practices compared to those in urban areas. Health education interventions are advocated by health programmers, to increase the knowledge of breast cancer, its risk factors and early detection measures among women in underserved communities.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ahn Y, Sohn M, Yoo E: Breast Functions Perceived by Korean Mothers: Infant Nutrition and Female Sexuality. Western journal of nursing research 2010, 32(3):363-378
- 2. Brower V: Developing Nations Face Challenges as Breast Cancer Rises. Journal of the National Cancer Institute 2011, 103(24):1812-1814.
- 3. Ferlay J, Héry C, Autier P, Sankaranarayanan R: Global burden of breast cancer. Breast Cancer Epidemiology 2010:1-19.
- Ferlay J, Shin HR, Bray F, Forman D, Mathers C, Parkin DM: Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008. International Journal of Cancer 2010, 127(12):2893-2917.
- 5. Youlden DR, Cramb SM, Dunn NAM, Muller JM, Pyke CM, Baade PD: The descriptive epidemiology of female breast cancer: an international comparison of screening, incidence, survival and mortality. Cancer epidemiology 2012.
- Khatib OMN, Modjtabai A: Guidelines for the early detection and screening of breast cancer: World Health Organization, Regional Office for the Eastern Mediterranean: 2006.
- 7. Olowokere AE, Onibokun AC, Oluwatosin AO: Breast cancer knowledge and screening practices among women in selected rural communities of Nigeria.
- 8. Oluwatosin OA: Rural Women's Perception of Breast Cancer and its Early-detection Measures in Ibadan, Nigeria. Cancer Nursing 2006, 29(6):461.
- 9. McCool WF: Barriers to breast cancer screening in older women: A review. Journal of Nurse-Midwifery 1994, 39(5):283-299.
- 10. Jemal A, Bray F, Forman D, O'Brien M, Ferlay J, Center M, Parkin DM: Cancer burden in Africa and opportunities for prevention. Cancer 2012.

- 11. Porter P: "Westernizing" Women's Risks? Breast Cancer in Lower-Income Countries. New England Journal of Medicine 2008, 358(3):213-216.
- 12. Schootman M, Kinman E, Farria D: Rural-Urban Differences in Ductal Carcinoma in Situ as a Proxy for Mammography Use Over Time. The Journal of Rural Health 2003, 19(4):470-476.
- 13. Adesunkanmi A, Lawal O, Adelusola K, Durosimi M: The severity, outcome and challenges of breast cancer in Nigeria. The Breast 2006, 15(3):399-409.
- 14. Banjo AAF: Overview of breast and cervical cancers in Nigeria: are there regional variations?. In International workshop on new trends in Management of breast and cervical cancers Lagos, Nigeria 2004.
- 15. Harper S, Lynch J, Meersman SC, Breen N, Davis WW, Reichman MC: Trends in areasocioeconomic and race-ethnic disparities in breast cancer incidence, stage at diagnosis, screening, mortality, and survival among women ages 50 years and over (1987-2005). Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention 2009, 18(1):121-131.
- 16. Oguntola A, Agodirin S, Adeoti M, Aderonmu A: Bilateral Breast Cancer: Experience in a Poor Resource Black African Setting. East and Central African Journal of Surgery 2010, 15(1):28-34.
- 17. Ganz PA, Greendale GA, Petersen L, Kahn B, Bower JE: Breast cancer in younger women: reproductive and late health effects of treatment. Journal of Clinical Oncology 2003, 21(22):4184-4193.
- 18. Somdyala NIM, Bradshaw D, Gelderblom WCA, Parkin DM: Cancer incidence in a rural population of South Africa, 1998–2002. International Journal of Cancer 2010, 127(10):2420-2429.

- 19. Oluwatosin OA, Oladepo O: Knowledge of breast cancer and its early detection measures among rural women in Akinyele local government area, Ibadan, Nigeria. BMC cancer 2006, 6(1):271.
- 20. Rao R, Nair S, Nair N, Kamath V: Acceptability and effectiveness of a breast health awareness programme for rural women in India. Indian Journal of Medical Sciences 2005, 59(9):398.
- 21. Opoku SY, Benwell M, Yarney J: Knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, behaviour and breast cancer screening practices in Ghana, West Africa. Pan African Medical Journal 2012, 11(1).
- 22. Amosu AM, Degun AM, Thomas AM, Babalola AO: Assessment of awareness, perception, specific knowledge, and screening behaviour regarding breast cancer among rural women in Ipokia Local Government Area, Ogun State, Nigeria. Archives of Applied Science Research 2011, 3(2):253-265.
- 23. Okobia MN, Bunker CH, Okonofua FE, Osime U: Knowledge, attitude and practice of Nigerian women towards breast cancer: A cross-sectional study. World journal of surgical oncology 2006, 4(1):11.
- 24. Karbani G, Lim J, Hewison J, Atkin K, Horgan K, Lansdown M, Chu CE: Culture, Attitude and Knowledge about Breast Cancer and Preventive Measures: a Qualitative Study of South Asian Breast Cancer Patients in the UK. Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention: APJCP 2011, 12(6):1619.
- 25. Balogun M, Owoaje E: Knowledge and practice of breast self-examination among female traders in Ibadan, Nigeria. Annals of Ibadan Postgraduate Medicine 2007, 3(2):52-56.

How to cite this article: Aduayi VA, Aduayi OS, Onayade A et al. A community based rural-urban comparison of knowledge and perception of women towards breast cancer in South Western Nigeria. Int J Health Sci Res. 2016; 6(4):26-32.
