www.ijhsr.org International Journal of Health Sciences and Research ISSN: 2249-9571

Original Research Article

# Evaluation of Serum C-Reactive Protein Levels in Stable COPD Patients and Its Correlation with Severity

Nishant Shrivastava<sup>1</sup>, Lokendra Dave<sup>2</sup>, Shivani Chaturvedi<sup>3</sup>, Soniya Saxena<sup>4</sup>, Tariq Mehmood<sup>5</sup>, Ashish Gupta<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Assistant Professor, <sup>2</sup>Professor, <sup>3</sup>Senior Resident,

Department of TB Chest, GMC Bhopal.

<sup>4</sup>Junior Resident, Department of Microbiology, J K Medical College, Bhopal.

<sup>5</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Pulmonary Medicine, M L N M C, Allahabad.

<sup>6</sup>Asst. Professor, Department of Pulmonary Medicine, U P Rural Institute of Medical Science & Research, Etawah.

Corresponding Author: Lokendra Dave

Received: 17/07/2015

Revised: 16/08/2015

Accepted: 18/08/2015

#### ABSTRACT

C-reactive protein (CRP) is a stable and excellent biomarker of systemic inflammation which is easy to measure. COPD is an inflammatory disorder of lung with widespread Systemic manifestations as well as systemic inflammatory response. C-reactive protein levels may be increased in COPD patients. Present study investigates C-reactive protein level in COPD patients and its relation with their ventilatory function. Study included 56 stable COPD patients with mild to moderate severity, in age group 40-70 years and 56 nonsmoking controls in the same age group. Clinical and physiological characteristics were determined and C-reactive protein levels were measured. This study confirms the finding of higher C-reactive protein levels in smoking COPD patients (4.0 vs 4.9 mg-L<sup>-1</sup>) as compared to nonsmoking COPD patients. It also confirms that level of C-reactive protein in ex-smoking COPD population remains significantly higher than nonsmoking control group (7.8±1.8 vs 4.9±0.5mg/l). Present study shows that C-reactive protein levels correlates well with FEV<sub>1</sub>% (c-0.24, p0.029) and GOLD stage of disease (c0.19, p0.040). We recommend that measurement of C-reactive protein levels may be a useful tool to predict the prognosis and patient outcome in COPD patients. It also provides us strong argument to develop therapies aimed at decreasing inflammation independent of smoking cessation.

*Key words:* COPD, C-reactive protein (CRP) level.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

COPD is a multisystem disorder that is frequently associated with significant <sup>[1]</sup> The extra-pulmonary manifestations. mechanisms underlying systemic manifestations of COPD are of great interest because they account for a large part of morbidity. Their assessment and management is important for the

improvement by future outcome of COPD patients. These systemic manifestations are associated with systemic changes including evidence of increased oxidative stress, activation of circulating inflammatory cells and increased levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines.<sup>[2,3]</sup>

Various pathological processes in lung and systemic tissues of COPD patients

are associated with increased circulating biomarker levels. C-reactive protein (CRP) is a circulating protein that is largely but not exclusively produced by hepatocytes as a part of acute phase response. C-reactive protein is an excellent and stable biomarker for low grade systemic inflammation in body. <sup>[4]</sup> It may be used as a biomarker of COPD severity, systemic inflammatory response associated with COPD and its systemic complications. <sup>[5]</sup>

With this background our study was undertaken to evaluate the serum levels of C-reactive protein in stable COPD patients and its correlation with their ventilatory functions and severity of disease.

## Aims and Objectives

To find out association/correlation of serum C-reactive protein level with ventilatory function in stable COPD patients, if any.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in our department of respiratory medicine. After obtaining clearance from the ethical committee of the institute; study was undertaken on indoor and outdoor patients. Informed consent of all the participants was taken.

Three hundred and fifty patients were enrolled for the study, out of which Fifty six patients met the criteria for diagnosis of COPD and had complete data available for study.

Fifty six patients of age 40-70 years with mild to moderate grade of COPD (FEV<sub>1</sub>>50% predicted), with no exacerbations reported in last 3 months, were chosen from the outpatient department and were selected as cases for the study. Patients having recent abdominal, thoracic or ophthalmic surgery, recent coronary events, high blood pressure or any clinical evidence of infection, inflammation or CCF in previous three months were excluded. Fifty six apparently healthy nonsmoking subjects of similar age (mean±SD 58±12) were also included in the study, as control.

After selection of patients, detailed history was taken to obtain information regarding age, education, occupation. socioeconomic status, personal habits. smoking. diabetes mellitus, and hypertension. А thorough clinical examination was done. Routine investigations including complete blood count, erythrocyte sedimentation rate to exclude any infection, sputum for acid fast bacilli to rule out pulmonary Koch's, serum bilirubin and SGPT to exclude liver pathology (as CRP is mostly produced by liver) was done.

Patients subjected were to spirometry. Pre and post bronchodilator study was done in all COPD patients. Best of three consecutive tests were taken into consideration. Forced vital capacity (FVC), Forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) and the ratio of FEV1/FVC, peak expiratory flow during middle half of FVC (FEF 25-75 or MMEFR) were measured. were divided into Participants four subgroups- mild, moderate, severe, very severe grade of severity of COPD, as per GOLD criteria.<sup>[6]</sup>

C-reactive protein was measured in serum from blood sample obtained in the morning (mean time 9.30 am) after 4hrs of fasting. Measurement was done by an Immunochemical method known as Immunoturbidimetry, <sup>[7]</sup> most accurate method.

*Statistical Analysis:* Variables were presented as a percentage, mean  $\pm$  standard deviation or median depending on their distribution. As C-reactive protein values have non-normal distribution, logarithmic transformation was used to perform parametric testing. Univariate ANOVA with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the

estimation of differences was used to compare groups. Bivariate correlations between variables were evaluated by Pearson's correlation. Statistical analysis was done using STAT PLUS® Statistical Analysis Programme version 2008 developed by ANALYSIS SOFT®.

### **OBSERVATIONS**

After analyzing the patients and matched volunteer controls, observations were made. There were 12(21.4%) patients in the age group of 41-50 years, 20(35.7%)in 51-60 years, 24(42.9%) in the age group of 61-70 years. There were 51(91.1%) males and 5(8.9%) females. Out of 56 cases, 41(73.2%) cases were bidi or cigarette smokers, 5(8.9%) were having other risk factors (occupational and indoor air pollution), whereas 10 (17.9%)had exposure to both.

Thirty (53.5%) patients were previously using inhaled  $\beta_2$ -agonist, four (7.2%) oral methylxanthines, twelve (21.4%) oral methylxanthines plus inhaled  $\beta_2$ -agonist and ten (17.9%)were taking inhaled  $\beta_2$ -agonist plus inhaled steroid.

During the period of stability for last 3 months, spirometry revealed 16(28.6%) of patients having mild COPD and 40(71.4%) as having moderate COPD.

 TABLE 1: Clinical and physiological characteristics of COPD patients (cases)

Clinical and physiological parameters	subjects	values
Mean Age (years)	56	58±12
Mean FEV <sub>1</sub> % predicted	56	67±17
GOLD stage I(% of patients)	16	28.6%
GOLD stage II(% of patients)	40	71.4%
Exacerbations in last one year	06	2
Smoking, % of patients	41	73.2%

Comparision between cases and controls showed C-reactive protein levels were higher in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients than controls  $(4.9 \text{ vs } 3.1 \text{ mg-L}^{-1})$ . C-reactive protein levels was not equal between ex- smoking and

smoking COPD patients, being higher in the later $(7.8\pm1.8 \text{ vs } 4.9\pm0.5 \text{ mg/l})$ .

TABLE 2:	Parameters	that significa	ntly correlate	ed with log C-
reactive pro	otein levels	_	-	_

Variable	correlation	p-value			
FEV <sub>1</sub> %	-0.24	0.029 (S)			
GOLD stage	0.19	0.040 (S)			
* p value significant(S) ≤0.05					

\* p value non significant(NS)  $\geq 0.05$ 

### DISCUSSION

There is now sufficient evidence to support the presence of extrapulmonary consequences of COPD that can be detected clinically. They can be also measured by the increased level of systemic markers. Creactive protein is one of these markers. It is acute phase protein synthesized an predominantly by the hepatocytes in response to tissue damage or inflammation. Gan and co workers, <sup>[8]</sup> showed that Creactive protein is elevated in patients who actively smoked, had reduced lung function or even stable COPD. Study by Sin DD et al, <sup>[9]</sup> demonstrated that in COPD patients-C-reactive protein levels predicted cardiovascular mortality. Lacy P et al <sup>[10]</sup> 2004, demonstated that cardiovascular mortality and inflammation decreased with treatment with inhaled fluticasone. Pinto Plata et al, <sup>[11]</sup> have shown that patients with COPD have higher levels of C-reactive protein independent of cardiovascular risk factors. Higher C-reactive protein level in stable COPD patients was noted by Karadag F.et al <sup>[12]</sup> As per American heart association, there should be no detectable Creactive protein in blood of normal population.

Our study also confirms the previous finding of higher C-reactive protein levels in smoking COPD patients (4.0 vs 4.9 mg-L<sup>-1</sup>) as compared to nonsmoking COPD patients, as had been demonstrated by Gan WQ et al <sup>[4]</sup> The level of C-reactive protein in exsmoking COPD population remained significantly higher than nonsmoking control group  $(7.8\pm1.8 \text{ vs } 4.9\pm0.5\text{mg/l})$ . Similar findings were also observed in study done by Casanova C.et al <sup>[13]</sup> and SFP Man et al. <sup>[4]</sup> Pinto Plata et al <sup>[11]</sup> also found similar results.

The present study also confirms the finding produced by Gan WQ et al <sup>[8]</sup> supporting the fact that when lung function worsens, C-reactive protein levels increases. This study shows linear negative correlation of serum C-reactive protein levels with  $FEV_1\%$  (c-0.24, p0.029). This could also explain the direct relationship found between C-reactive protein levels and GOLD stages (c0.19, p0.040).

## CONCLUSION

Our study confirms that C-reactive protein levels are higher in COPD patients. This study also confirms the finding of higher C-reactive protein levels in smoking COPD patients as compared to nonsmoking COPD patients. The level of C-reactive protein in ex-smoking COPD population significantly higher remained than nonsmoking control group. This suggests that inflammatory state seems to persist, probably at seemingly lower level, despite smoking cessation. C-reactive protein level is associated with important clinical variables like FEV<sub>1</sub>%, GOLD stage which help to predict prognosis and patient outcome. Thus we conclude that measurement of C-reactive protein levels may be a useful tool to predict the prognosis and patient outcome in COPD patients. It also provides us strong argument to develop therapies aimed at decreasing inflammation independent of smoking cessation.

## REFERENCES

1. Vijayan V. Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. Indian J Med Res February 2013; 137: 251-269.

- 2. Augusti AG, Noguera A, Sauleda J,et al. Systemic Effects Of COPD. Eur Respire J 2003;21:347-60.
- Rahman I,Marrision D, Donaldson K, et al. Systemic oxidative stress in asthma, COPD, and smokers. AM J Respir Crit Care Med 1993; 154:1055-60.
- 4. Gan WQ,Man SF, Senthilselvan A, et al. Association between COPD and systemic inflammation: A systemic review and meta analysis. Thorax 2004; 59:574-80.
- 5. Joppa P, Petrasova D, Stancak B. Systemic Inflammation in patients with COPD and pulmonary hypertension. Chest 2006; 130(2):326-33.
- 6. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management and prevention of COPD 2014: Diagnosis and assessment, chapter 3:14.
- 7. Price CP, Trull AK, Berry D, Gormann FG. Development and validation of a particle enhanced turbidimetric immunoassay for Creactive protein. J Immunol Methods 1987; **99**:205-211.
- 8. Gan Q, Paul Man SF, Sin DD. The interactions between cigarette smoking and reduced lung function on systemic inflammation. Chest 2005; 127:558-564.
- 9. Sin DD, Paul Man SF, why are patients with COPD are at increased risk of cardiovascular disease? The potential role of systemic inflammation in COPD. Circulation 2003; 107:1514-1519.
- Sin DD, Lacy P, York E, Paul Man SF. Effects of fluticasone on systemic markers of inflammation on COPD. Am J Respir crit care med 2004; 170:760-765.
- 11. Pinto Plata VM, Mullerova H, Toso JF. C- reactive protein in patients

with COPD, control smokers and nonsmokers. Thorax 2006; 61:23-28.

- 12. Karadag F, Kirdar S, Karul Ab. The value Of C-reactive protein as a marker of systemic inflammation in patients with stable COPD. Eur J Int Med 2008; 19:104-108.
- 13. Casanova C, Cote C, De Torres JP et al. The inspiratory to total lung capacity ratio predicts mortality in patients with COPD. Am J Respor Crit Care Med 2004; 171:591-597.

How to cite this article: Shrivastava N, Dave L, Chaturvedi S et al. Evaluation of serum c-reactive protein levels in stable COPD patients and its correlation with severity. Int J Health Sci Res. 2015; 5(9):62-66.

\*\*\*\*\*

#### International Journal of Health Sciences & Research (IJHSR)

#### Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of Health Sciences & Research is a multidisciplinary indexed open access double-blind peerreviewed international journal that publishes original research articles from all areas of health sciences and allied branches. This monthly journal is characterised by rapid publication of reviews, original research and case reports across all the fields of health sciences. The details of journal are available on its official website (www.ijhsr.org).

Submit your manuscript by email: editor.ijhsr@gmail.com OR editor.ijhsr@yahoo.com