Hematological Inflammatory Parameters: Can They Play a Role as Cancer Biomarkers?

Anu Agarwal¹, Hiral², Mukta Pujani³, Sujata Raychaudhuri⁴, Charu Agarwal⁴, Akanksha Bajaj¹, Reetika Menia¹

¹Tutor, ²MBBS Student, ³Associate Professor, ⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Pathology, ESIC Medical College and Hospital, Faridabad, Haryana

Corresponding Author: Anu Agarwal

ABSTRACT

Background: Cancer is one of the most important causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide. Association of cancer with systemic inflammation has been widely established. A complete blood count may reveal changes in cell lines as a result of inflammation.

Method: The study was conducted in the Department of Pathology at ESIC Medical College, Faridabad in duration of 3 months (July 2018- September 2018). A total of 30 cancer cases and 30 controls were included in the study. The haematological parameters (neutrophil, lymphocyte, monocyte count and platelet count) and indices (NLR, PLR and LMR) were evaluated and statistical analysis was done to find a relationship between the above mentioned indices and cancer.

Result: According to our study NLR and PLR along with absolute neutrophil count and platelet count showed a significant difference between cancer cases and controls. However, there was no significant difference between cancer and control groups in terms of LMR values.

Conclusion: Absolute neutrophil and platelet count alongwith NLR and PLR can be used as markers of systemic inflammation in cancer

Key Words: cancer, systemic inflammation, haematological indices

INTRODUCTION

Cancer is one of the leading causes of death worldwide and is responsible for an estimated 9.6 million deaths annually. Globally, about 1 in 6 deaths is attributed to cancer leading to approximately 70% of deaths. ^[1] It is now widely recognised that patient outcome with cancer is not only determined by tumour characteristics alone but also the host related factors are also important. In the last decade, it has become increasingly apparent that cancer-associated inflammation is a key determinant of disease progression and survival. ^[2,3] It is also well established that the systemic inflammatory response is associated with alterations in circulating white blood cells. [4,5] Haematological tests are carried out routinely for cancer patients in a variety of clinical scenarios, and as such represent an easily measurable objective parameter able to express the severity of the systemic

inflammatory response in patients with cancer.

The individual blood cell parameters are easily fluctuated by dehydration/ overhydration, diluted blood specimens and blood specimen handling. Hence, to study the interaction between blood cells, various stable indices have been derived such as NLR (neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio), PLR (platelet to lymphocyte ratio) and LMR (lymphocyte to monocyte ratio). A High NLR and PLR; and Low LMR have been linked to malignancy and poorer prognosis of cancer patients.^[6-8]

Considering the importance of inflammatory pathways in cancer development we designed this study to evaluate hematological parameters (NLR, LMR) in different types PLR. of malignancies and to analyse whether these hematological parameters are useful markers to differentiate between cancer patients and healthy individuals by determining the level of NLR, PLR and LMR particularly.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This was a prospective case control study conducted in the Department of Pathology, ESIC Medical College and Hospital, Faridabad over a 3 months period from July 2018 to September 2018. Approval was obtained from institutional ethics committee and consent was taken from all patients.

The study comprised of 30 cases of solid organ malignancies with established pathological diagnosis and 30 age and gender matched healthy controls. Patients with history of cardiovascular disease, metabolic syndromes, inflammatory bowel disease, haematological disorders, kidney or liver disease and any other disease that might alter the haematological parameters and patients on anti-platelet medication were excluded from the study.

Venous blood samples were collected in aseptic conditions in the EDTA vacutainer. Samples were run on automated Sysmex XN1000 and counter the haematological findings like absolute neutrophil count, absolute lymphocyte count, absolute monocyte count and platelet count were noted. Subsequently, NLR (Neutrophil to Lymphocyte ratio), PLR (Platelet to Lymphocyte ratio) and LMR (Lymphocyte to Monocyte ratio) were calculated.

Statistical Analysis- The mean and standard deviation of all the parameters were calculated in cases and controls. A comparison in haematological indices between the cases and controls was done using t test and p value <0.05 was considered to be significant.

OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS

A total 30 cases with a pathological diagnosis of cancer and 30 controls were included in the present study. The patients in both the groups were in the age of 20 to 70 yrs. The mean age of the cases was 50

years with most of the patients in the age group 41-50yrs (36.7%) (Figure 1). Most of the patients were males, contributing 70% of the cases (Figure 2). As seen in Table 1, the most common solid organ malignancy was SCC oral cavity comprising of 36.7% of the cases followed by SCC larynx. Also, most of such patients were males (8 out of total 11 cases). Table 2 depicts the difference in mean of various haematological parameters in cancer cases versus control patients.



FIGURE 1: AGE-DISTRIBUTION OF CASES



FIGURE 2: GENDER-DISTRIBUTION OF CASES

| TABLE 1 | : DISTRIBUTION | OF CASE | S ACCORDING | то |
|---------|----------------|---------|-------------|----|
| DIAGNOS | SIS | | | |

| Diagnosis | No. of cases (n=30) |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Squamous Cell Carcinoma Oral cavity | 11 |
| Squamous Cell Carcinoma Larynx | 6 |
| Adenocarcinoma Oesophagus | 1 |
| Carcinoma Cervix | 4 |
| Carcinoma breast | 5 |
| Carcinoma prostate | 1 |
| Carcinoma Thyroid | 2 |

This difference was statistically significant in terms of absolute neutrophil count, platelet count, NLR and PLR. The mean NLR in cancer cases and controls was 3.02 + 1.45SD and 1.77+0.41SD respectively. As evident from Figure 3, in most of the cases NLR was higher in cancer patients as compared to controls. Also, the

difference between these was statistically significant, p=<0.0001. Mean PLR was also higher in cancer cases versus controls (161.50+ 60.66 SD vs 112.35+ 37.04 SD) (Figure 4). Similar to NLR, the difference in PLR between cancer cases and controls was statistically significant, p = 0.0004.

| Hematological index | Cancer cases | Controls | P value |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------|
| | (mean+_SD) | (mean+_SD) | |
| Absolute neutrophil count ($x10^{3}/cumm$) | 5.64+-2.18 | 3.57+-0.78 | < 0.0001 |
| Absolute lymphocyte count ($x10^{3}$ /cumm) | 2.03+-0.74 | 2.06+-0.52 | 0.8530 |
| Absolute monocyte count ($x10^{3}$ /cumm) | 0.69+-0.34 | 0.64+-0.36 | 0.6095 |
| Platelet count (x10 ³ /cumm) | 312.7+- 22.15 | 225.96+-13.56 | 0.0015 |
| NLR | 3.02+-1.45 | 1.77+-0.41 | < 0.0001 |
| PLR | 161.50+- 60.66 | 112.35+- 37.04 | 0.0004 |
| LMR | 4.42+-5.94 | 3.71+- 1.52 | 0.5845 |

| TABLE 2: | COMPARISON OF | HAEMATOLOGICAL | INDICES IN CANCER | AND CONTROL I | PATIENTS |
|----------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|----------|
| | | | | | |



FIGURE 3: NLR IN CANCER CASES AND CONTROLS



FIGURE 4: PLR IN CANCER CASES AND CONTROLS

DISCUSSION

The relationship between inflammation and cancer development is one of the most investigated issues in the last decade. ^[9] In this study there was a significant increase in absolute neutrophil

count in cancer cases as compared to their matched age and gender controls (p<0.0001). It increased from 3.57 (+0.78) $x10^3$ per cumm to 5.64 (+2.18) $x10^3$ per cumm⁻ Similar increase was found by Grimm et al ^[10] when they compared haematological parameters among oral squamous cell carcinoma and controls and found that absolute neutrophil count increased from 4.67 (4.34–5.00) x 10^3 per cumm to 5.68 (5.33–6.02) x 10^3 per cumm with a p<0.0001. However, in a study conducted by Duzlu et al, ^[11] the difference between malignant, benign and control groups was not statistically significant (p=0.020).

Our study also showed a significant change in platelet count when observed in cancer cases versus controls. It increased from 225.96 (\pm 13.56) x10³ per cumm to 312.7 (\pm 22.15) x 10³ per cumm. p=0.0015. A similar increase was observed by Duzlu et al ^[11] and Grimm et al ^[10] but the difference was not statistically significant. (p value of 0.8360 and 0.950 respectively).

Absolute monocyte count has also been studied by various authors.^[12-15]

However, absolute monocyte count mainly has a role as a prognostic marker in various malignancies. The prognostic value of monocyte has been reported not only in lymphomas, but also in solid tumours. ^[9,16] Increase of circulating monocyte was associated with poor survival and outcome in non-small cell lung cancer, gynecological cancer, and gastrointestinal cancer. ^[16-18] In our study, the difference in absolute monocyte count between cancer cases and controls was not significant, p=0.6095.

A low lymphocyte count has been frequently observed in advanced cancer patients. It has also been found to be associated with poor overall survival in patients with various types of cancer. ^[19,20] We compared absolute lymphocyte levels in cancer patients and their respective controls and did not observe any significant change, p=0.8530. However, Duzlu et al ^[11] and Grimm et al ^[10] found a significant decrease in lymphocyte count in oral cancer patients as compared to their respective controls (p=<0.0001).

In addition to studying the individual haematological parameters, it is also important to study their interactions. For this we derived NLR (neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio), PLR (platelet to lymphocyte ratio) and LMR (lymphocyte to monocyte ratio).

In our study, NLR increased significantly from 1.77 ± 0.41 in controls to 3.02 ± 1.45 in cancer patients (p<0.0001). This was in concordance with the findings of Duzlu et al ^[11] (p=<0.001) and Grimm et al ^[10] (p=<0.0001) oral cancer, Kemal et al ^[21] (p < .001) and Bednarska et al ^[22] (p <.00001) in ovarian cancer, and Pietrzyk et al ^[23] (p=0.020) in gastric cancer.

Similar to NLR, the increase in PLR was also significant in our study. It was observed that there was an increase in value of PLR from 112.35+37.04 in controls to 161.50+ 60.66 in cancer cases. Also this difference was statistically significant (p=0.0004). These results were similar to the findings of Kemal et al ^[21] and Ozaksit et al ^[24] (p < .001 and p = .004 respectively) in ovarian cancer patients. Also, Pietrzyk et al ^[23] in gastric cancer patients found a significant increase similar in PLR (p=0.002). Grimm et al ^[10] also found that PLR values increase significantly in oral cancer (p=0.001).

In contrast to NLR and PLR, our study revealed that LMR values did not changed significantly in cancer cases with respect to controls (p=0.5845). However, Grimm et al ^[10] in their study observed a significant decrease in LMR from 5.43 (5.01–5.84) in controls to 3.57 (3.30–3.83) in cancer patients.

Hence, according to our study haematological indices namely absolute neutrophil count and platelet count along with NLR and PLR can be used as reliable markers of detection of cancer.

Limitations

The limitations of the study include a small sample size as the duration of the study was only for a short period of 3 months. In addition we could not compare the difference in haematological parameters among cancer of different sites due to small sample size leading to uneven distribution of cases. Also, the lack of serial evaluation of the abovementioned parameters throughout the course of disease was another drawback which is necessary in order to comment upon their value as prognostic markers in addition to being markers of detection. Hence, this study can be used as pilot project for further studies in future.

CONCLUSION

Cancer is one of the most important causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide. According to our study, absolute neutrophil count and platelet count increase significantly in cancer. Also, NLR and PLR can reliably be used as markers for detection of cancer. However, in our study there were no significant changes in LMR in cancer patients. Thus, the haematological indices mainly NLR and PLR are easily available and cost effective tools that can be used for

REFERENCES

- 1. http://www.who.int/news-room/factsheets/detail/cancer.12September 2018
- 2. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. The hallmarks of cancer. Cell 2000;100(1):57–70.
- Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell 2011; 144(5):646–74
- Roxburgh CS, McMillan DC. Role of systemic inflammatory response in predicting survival in patients with primary operable cancer. Future Oncology 2010; 6(1):149–63
- McMillan DC. The systemic inflammationbased Glasgow Prognostic Score: A decade of experience in patients with cancer. Cancer Treatment Reviews 2012, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2012.08.003. PII:S0305-7372(12)00171-5
- Man YG, Stojadinovic A, Mason J, Avital I, Bilchik A, Bruecher B, et al. Tumor infiltrating immune cells promoting tumor invasion and metastasis: existing theories. J Cancer 2013; 4(1):84–95

- 7. Faria SS, Fernandes PC, Silva MJB, et al. The neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio: a narrative review. *ecancer medical science*. 2016; 10:702.
- Gu X, Gao X-S, Qin S, et al. Elevated Platelet to Lymphocyte Ratio Is Associated with Poor Survival Outcomes in Patients with Colorectal Cancer. Chalmers J, ed. *PLoS ONE*. 2016; 11(9):e0163523.
- 9. Tsai YD, Wang CP, Chen CY, et al. Pretreatment circulating monocyte count associated with poor prognosis in patients with oral cavity cancer. *Head Neck*. 2014; 36:947–953.
- Grimm M, Rieth J, Hoefert S, Krimmel M, Rieth S, Teriete P, Kluba S, Biegner T, Munz A, Reinert S. Standardized pretreatment inflammatory laboratory markers and calculated ratios in patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2016 Oct; 273(10): 3371-84.
- Düzlü M, Karamert R, Tutar H, Şahin M, Türkcan A, Yılmaz M. Diagnostic role of neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio in oral cavity cancers. iger J Clin Pract. 2018 Jan;21(1):49-53
- 12. Botta C, Barbieri V, Ciliberto D, et al. Systemic inflammatory status at baseline predicts bevacizumab benefit in advanced non-small cell lung cancer patients. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2013; 14:469–475.
- 13. Lee YY, Choi CH, Sung CO, et al. Prognostic value of pre-treatment circulating monocyte count in patients with cervical cancer: comparison with SCC-Ag level. *Gynecol Oncol* 2012; 124:92–97.
- Bishara S, Griffin M, Cargill A, et al. Pretreatment white blood cell subtypes as prognostic indicators in ovarian cancer. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2008; 138:71–75.
- Hasenclever D, Diehl V. A prognostic score for advanced Hodgkin's disease. International Prognostic Factors Project on Advanced Hodgkin's Disease. N Engl J Med 1998;339(21):1506–14.
- Rochet NM, Kottschade LA, Grotz TE, et al. The prognostic role of the preoperative absolute lymphocyte count and absolute monocyte count in patients with resected advanced melanoma. *Am J Clin.* 2015; 38:252–258.
- 17. Lee YY, Choi CH, Sung CO, et al. Prognostic value of pre-treatment

circulating monocyte count in patients with cervical cancer: comparison with SCC-Ag level. *Gynecol Oncol* 2012; 124:92–97.

- Bishara S, Griffin M, Cargill A, et al. Pretreatment white blood cell subtypes as prognostic indicators in ovarian cancer. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2008; 138: 71–75.
- Ray-Coquard I, Cropet C, Van Glabbeke M, Sebban C, Le Cesne A, Judson I, et al. Lymphopenia as a prognostic factor for overall survival in advanced carcinomas, sarcomas, and lymphomas. Cancer Res 2009;69(13):5383–91
- 20. Ceze N, Thibault G, Goujon G, Viguier J, Watier H, Dorval E, et al. Pre-treatment lymphopenia as a prognostic biomarker in colorectal cancer patients receiving chemotherapy. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2011;68(5):1305–13
- Kemal Y, Demirag G, Ekiz K, Yucel I. Mean platelet volume could be a useful biomarker for monitoring epithelial ovarian cancer. J Obstet Gynaecol. 2014; 34(6): 515–8.

- 22. Bednarska K, Klink M, Wilczynski JR, Szyllo K, Malinowski A, Sulowska Z, et al. Heterogeneity of the Mac-1 expression on peripheral blood neutrophils in patients with different types of epithelial ovarian cancer. Immunobiology. 2016; 221(2):323–32.
- 23. Pietrzyk L, Plewa Z, Denisow-Pietrzyk M, Zebrowski R, Torres K. Diagnostic Power of Blood Parameters as Screening Markers in Gastric Cancer Patients. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2016; 17(9):4433-4437.
- 24. Ozaksit G, Tokmak A, Kalkan H, Yesilyurt H. Value of the plateletto lymphocyte ratio in the diagnosis McMillan, Donald C. Systemic inflammation, nutritional status and survival in patients with cancer. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. 2009 May; 12(3):223-6.

How to cite this article: Agarwal A, Hiral, Pujani M et.al. Hematological inflammatory parameters: can they play a role as cancer biomarkers? Int J Health Sci Res. 2020; 10(1):18-23.
